#### REGULATION

OF

### EASTER

OR,

The Cause of the Errors and Dfferences contracted in the Calculation of it discover'd, and duly consider'd.

Shewing,

The Frequency and ill Consequence of that Error, with the Cause from whence it proceeds, and a Method propos'd for rectifying it, and reconciling the Differences about it, and for restoring the Time of celebrating that great Solemnity to its primitive Certainty and Exactness, and that without the Difficulty and Confusion which some have objected would attend such a Regulation.

By HENRY WILSON, Mathematician, at Tower-Hill.

#### LONDON:

Printed for J. WILFORD, at the Three Flowers

de-Luces, behind the Chapter-House in

St. Paul's Church-Yard, 1735.

[Price Sixpence.]

\* ,50 oni san emadel and --- 34 2-11-1 Wi. PHILLIPPE PRO constraint they

E

E of B ha

th uj ca

> tl. th

ATTI

## PREFACE

THE Surprize of People of all Ranks about the suppos'd Error in celebrating the Feast of Easter this Year 1735 on the 6th of April, when by the Rule in the Book of Common Prayer it Should have been the 30th of March, and the Disputes which have happen'd upon it, hav occasion'd the Publication of this small Piece; and the rather, because a great many that did not know much of the Matter, not only engag'd in the Dispute, but even arraign'd the Judgment of the Legislature who made the Institution. These Considerations

#### PREFACE.

siderations have induc'd me, in order to set that Affair in a clear Light, humbly to give a Reason for this prevailing, and I may say increasing Error, and from the Reason known, humbly to propose a Method for not only preventing the Increase of it, but for quite rectifying and suppressing it, and restoring the Calculation of this great Paschal Solemnity to its true Primitive Institution. I shall not give any Abstract of the Contents of so small a Tract, but leave the Consideration of what I have here offer'd to the Reader's Perusal and Judgment.

H. W.

R

and

of of

17 on

loc

ho

ir

m

y

e

Se

g

1-

0-

it

1-

ot

ts

e

e

?-

## iccted, would be the und of lich a Regulation.

#### REGULATION Easter has feveral Times of late Years d

viated, and will fereral Oimes, in a few Tear

# to come (if not regulated by Authority) de viras & Authority) de viras Authority (if $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathbf{A}$ in

N pursuing this small, but at this Juncture necessary, Tract, and in order briefly to set it in as clear a Light as possible, the following Things will be necessary to be ob-Although this has been deliver ferv'd. well etablished, and, by such goo,

1. That the Feast of Easter several Times. and that in a few Years past, or to come, deviates from the general Rule given in the Book of Common Prayer, with particular Inflances of the Years that it happens so, from the Year 1709 to the Year 1740 inclusive, which is only a Specimen of what may happen if we look further forward.

2. To give a Reason for this Difference, and how, or by what Means this Error has crept into the Calculation of this great Paschal Solemnity. And,

action to me a so Bone No. W . sow 3. To

3. To propose a Method by which this Error may be rectified without those Difficulties or that Consusion which, as some have objected, would be the unavoidable Consequence of such a Regulation.

alle

17

23

nez by tha

the

of.

and

the

pe

aft

Wa

ha

W

W

17

fib

th

th

fo

on

of

D

th

21

T

al

Se:

I. That the Celebration of the Feast of Easter has several Times of late Years deviated, and will several Times, in a sew Years to come (if not regulated by Authority) deviate from that standing Rule in the Book of Common Prayer, viz. Easter-Day, on which all the rest depend, is always the first Sunday after the sirst Full Moon, which happens next after the one and twentieth Day of March; and if the Full Moon happens on a Sunday, Easter-Day is the Sunday after.

Although this has been deliver'd as a Rule so well established, and by such good Authority as to be published in the Book of Common Prayer, which might be suppos'd to render it unexceptionable, yet if we examine for twenty five Years past, and about five Years to come (to look no further either Way) we shall find that Rule sails, as in the many Instances

following.

And first, to go no further back than the Year 1709, the Full Moon that Year was on Wednesday April the 13th; the Sunday following was April the 17th, and yet Easter-Day that Year was not till the 24th of April, which was a Week after the Time determin'd by the above-mention'd Rule.

An

Er-

ties

ob-

nce

of

de-

ears

de-

of

bich

day

rext

ch;

tay,

e fo

rity

mon

der

for

s to

hall

ices

the

on

fol-

er-

ril,

n'd

An

An Error of the same kind, if it must be allow'd to be an Error, happen'd in the Year 1711, the Full Moon that Year being on the 23d of March (two Days after the 21st). the next Sunday was the 25th of March, which by that Rule should have been Easter-Day, but that Solemnity was appointed by the Table in the Book of Common Prayer to be on the first of April, and was publish'd in the Almanacks, and celebrated on that Day accordingly; and the like Deviation from that original Rule happen'd in the Year 1712, the first Full Moon after the 21st of March happen'd that Year on the 10th of April, the next Sunday after that was the 13th of April, and consequently should have been Easter-Sunday, and yet Easter-Day was not till the 20th of April, which was 2 Week after, as it has happen'd this Year 1735.

But allowing that Rule to be the indispensible Standard for the Celebration of Easter, there was a much greater Error contracted in the Year 1714, than any of the above-mention'd; for in that Year the first Full Moon after the one and twentieth of March, was on the 18th of April, which being on a Sunday, Easter-Day should (according to the last Clause of the Rule above-mention'd) have been on the 25th of April; but notwithstanding that, the Table in the Book of Common Prayer, and also the Almanacks for that Year unanimously settled it on the 28th of March, and it wat celebrated

lebrated on that Day accordingly, without any Opposition or Dispute, although it was just a Month before the Day appointed by the abovemention'd Rule, and indeed here that Rule seems to be quite disregarded; for Easter-Day that Year was neither the first Sunday after the first Full Moon which bappen'd next after the one and twentieth of March, nor indeed was it the first Sunday after any Full Moon at all.

gi be

no

m

I

fu

fu

Y

pi

th

tr

th

te

fi

fi

a

S

 $\boldsymbol{E}$ 

OI

m

fi

gi fii

th

of

R

an

ve

Again, in the Year 1715, the first Full Moon after the 21st of March was on Thursday the 7th of April, and consequently the 10th should have been Easter-Sunday, and yet it was kept on Sunday the 17th of April. Also in 1718, the first Full Moon after the 21st of March was April the 4th, the next Sunday was April the 6th, and yet Easter-Day was

not till April the 13th.

But as I would neither be tedious upon this Head on one Hand, nor neglect any Thing material in the present Case on the other, I shall only observe, that in the Years 1721, 1724, 1728, 1732, and this Year 1735 there was a Sunday between the Full Moon and Easter-Day, and consequently it was not the first Sunday after the first full Moon, &c. This Deviation from that Rule appear d'also very evident in the Year 1733, the first Full Moon after the 21st of March being on Wednesday the 18th of April, and consequently Sunday the 22d should have been Easter-Day, but it was kept that Year on the 25th of March,

ny

t a

veule

ay

the

one the

ull

ırf-

the

yet

ril.

111

day

was

his

ing

2I, lere

and

the

&c.

alfo

ull

ed-

itly

of

cb,

I

March, viz. just a Month before the Day given by the Rule, the Full Moon in March being on the nineteenth Day, and therefore not after the one and twentieth; and not to make any Repetition of the same happening this Year 1735. it will also happen in the Years 1736, 1738, and 1739. (not to pursue it any further) there will be a Sunday between the full Moon and Easter-Day.

But what is still more remarkable is, in the Year 1725. (although I have not taken it in its proper Order) and with which I shall conclude this List of Easters that have happen'd contrary to the above-mention'd Rule, and that is, that the Full Moon in March was on the feventeenth Day, viz. four Days before the twenty first, and the next Full Moon after the twenty first was on the 16th of April, which happen'd on a Friday; and the eighteenth Day being the Sunday after, should by that Rule have been Easter-Day, and yet it was that Year celebrated on the 28th of March, bearing no Conformity to the Rule in one Respect or other; for first it was three Weeks before the Sunday given by the Rule, and fecondly it was not the first Sunday after any Full Moon at all, as was the Case in the Year 1714. before-mention'd,

Perhaps after this Enumeration of Instances of Easter happening contrary to the establish'd Rule above-mention'd, it may not be thought an improper Question to ask, Why this Discovery was never made, and this Mistake in the

Calcu-

Calculation of the Feast of Easter found out before now? And in this Case I must own I can only give a general Answer, grounded upon a paradoxical Proverb, which inadvertently affirms, that Every-Body's Bufiness is No-Body's Business. However, I suppose what is intended to be understood by it is, that what equally concerns a Community, is not the Province of any one particular Person; but I would anfwer, that when a growing Error is indulg'd, or at least dispens'd with from Time to Time, till it cannot be any longer conceal'd, it will some Time or other break out, and shew itfelf; and if so, some Time, some Place, some Person, &c. must be first in propagating and promulgating the Discovery.

I shall not pursue this Affair any surther, having given Instances above of Easter deviating from the Rule in the Book of Common Prayer sixteen Times in thirty one Years; I shall next enquire into the Reason of this Error or Difference in the Calculation of this great Festival, that when the Cause is discover'd, it may be a great Step towards a Method for removing any Difficulty or Consusion that may attend the sinding the true Time of the Celebration of Easter, according to the primitive Design and Institution of it, his Majesty and the Legislature should vouchsafe to take

it into Confideration.

As to the Cause of this Error thus insensibly contracted, and yet hitherto frequently prevail-

ing,

ing,

twe

Wo

The

befo

exa

here

whi

the to r

to c

of I

the

don

at the

Yea

on t

mac

con it d

fo t

fhal

nom

Ref

\*

" C

ut.

I

p-

ly y's

ed

lly

of

in-

d,

ne,

vill

it-

me

ind

ner,

iat-

ion; I

Er-

this

co-

hod

that

the

mi-

esty

take

fibly

vailing, ing, it is to be imputed to the Difference between the Julian and Gregorian, or, in other Words, between English and Foreign Accounts. The Foreign Account, which is eleven Days before ours, being nearest the Truth, yet not exactly true by fome Days, as shall be prov'd hereafter, with a Reason affigned for that Error, whilst our English Account differs as much from the Truth as it differs from theirs; and in order to make this the more intelligible, it is proper to confider what was the Reason that the 21st of March was fix'd upon as the Day to govern the great Festival of Easter, and this may be done with little Difficulty, if we confider that at the Time of the Nicene Council when these Regulations were made, and which was in the Year of our Lord 322, the vernal Equinox was on the 21st of March, and the Regulation then made with respect to Easter was upon a Suppofition that the vernal Equinox would always continue to be on the twenty first of March, as it does to this Day, and will always continue so to do in the Foreign Account, for which I shall first quote Mr. Vincent Wing in his Aftronomia Instaurata, and then give Reasons why it is so. His Words are these, speaking of the Restitution of the Calendar.

"Forasmuch as the Earth, in the Space of 365 Days 5 Hours 49 Minutes 4 Seconds, doth make her annual Revolutions in her Excentrick-Circle, departing from some known Point thereof, and returning to the same a-

" gain

" gain, which we call the tropical Year, it " therefore cometh to pass, that the Julian "Year, containing 365 Days and 6 Hours, (as " it was formerly established by the Church) " must needs exceed the true Year 10 Minutes " 56 Seconds, which Defect, fince the first E-" stablishment thereof, hath much altered, not only the Paschal Solemnity, but also the E-" quinoxes and Solftices, and therefore Pope " Gregory XIII. to bring the Paschal Solemni-"ty, and the Equinoxes and Solftices to their " Seats they were in at the Time of the Ni-" cene Council, Anno 322. (for the Equinox " was then the 21st of March) ordered, that " for its Restitution, there should be ten Days o. mitted in the Month of October 1582, and " so the 5th Day should be accounted the 15th, " (as you may see in the Ephemeris of Maginus " for that Year) and from thence so to con-" tinue till the Year 1700 February 24, from " which Time the Gregorian Year must exceed " the Julian 11 Days, and from that Year in-" clusive every fourth Centenary to be Biffex-" tile, and the rest of the Centenaries to be common Years of 365 Days a-piece, whereby " every 400 Years shall gain 3 Days of what " the Julian loses."

This being consider'd, it may be easily understood, that when the Rule for that Festival was laid down and establish'd by a Law, that Easter-Day should be the first Sunday after the first Full Moon which should happen next af-

ter

te

m

be

M

na

an

M of

bu M

roi

ele na

of

the

un wi

thi

Ac

Wr

giv

 $\mathbf{D}_{i}$ 

COL

as :

the

fix

it s

WO

ter the one and twentieth of March, &c. it meant no more than that Easter-Day should be always the first Sunday after the first Full Moon which should happen next after the vernal Equinox, &c. and to them that in their annual Revolutions allow for the (near) eleven Minutes a Year above-mentioned, this Way of finding Easter will be a perpetual Rule; but to us, who by the difregarding that eleven Minutes, in Process of Time contracted an Etror of ten Days before the Year 1700, and of eleven Days ever fince (we having now the vernal Equinox eleven Days before the twenty first of March, which was then the true Time of it, and the Reason of that Day being affign'd for the Regulation of Easter) makes it become an uncertain Rule to us, and if not rectified, it will become no Rule to us at all.

But for the Satisfaction of those who may think that the English Account is the only true Account, and any that differ from it are so far wrong; for the Sake of such, I fay, I shall give the following Hint as to the Reason of the Difference between the English and foreign Accounts, and that the latter is so near the Truth as above-mentioned; but that the English Account has contracted an Error of fo much as they differ, and that by the following Means.

The true tropical Year is three hundred and fixty five Days and near fix Hours; which if it were exactly fo that fix Hours every Year would amount to a Day, or twenty four Hours

in

stival that

it

lian

(as

rch)

utes

łE-

not

E-

Pope

nni-

heir

Ni-

inox

that

ys o-

and

5th,

ginus

con-

from

ceed

er in-

iffex-

com-

ereby

what

y un-

er the xt af-

ter

mi I'

in four Years, and upon this Supposition, of I may rather say, upon an indulging of this known Error, the English Account adds a Day to February every sourth Year, and that is therefore called Leap-Year, but without any Allowance for the eleven Minutes which the Year is short of the three hundred sixty sive Days and six Hours above-mentioned.

But to be a little more exact, let us confult the following Authors: Mr. Wing before quoted, fays, that the true tropical Year confifts of 365 Days 5 Hours 49 Minutes 4 Seconds. Mr. Street in his Astronomia Carolina, says it is 36; Days 5 Hours 49 Minutes, and but one Second; but Mr. Holden, writing upon the same Subject, fays, it is 365 Days 5 Hours 49 Minutes 16 Seconds. But to wave this Over-Curiousness, I shall suppose, as a Medium amongst all the rest, that the Year contains 365 Days 5 Hours 49 Minutes and 12 Seconds, which, if granted, then our adding fix Hours every Year, or a Day in four Years, is ten Minutes forty eight Seconds too much, and that in four hundred Years amounts to three Days, and agrees exactly with Pope Gregory's Institution of correcting the Calendar, and is as follows:

He confidering what a gross Error the adding of fix Hours, instead of five Hours and about forty nine Minutes, would in Process of Time amount to, he, in the Year 1582, corrected the Calendar as far back as the Year 322, which was the Time of the Nicene Council, at which

Time

Ti

ren

M

Da

the

rec

reć

all

dre

wh

15

the

bet

COI

the

Ye

no

Da

M

the

lev

Da

qu

2 I lat

up

Bo

 $E_{i}$ 

fir

an

te

1582, making the fifth Day the fifteenth, and

before ours that remained uncorrected, and fo

Year with us, as being the fourth Year, but

Minutes above-mentioned, and by this Means

their Account has fince the Year 1700 been e-

21st of March, and by Reason of this Regu-

or I Time the vernal Equinox, or the Sun's (appawn rent) Entrance into Aries was on the 21st of March (which by the Way gave rife to that Fe-Day being still appointed to govern Easter, ere--wo though the Equinox in the English Account is ear receded back from it eleven Days) and this Corays rection of his being for 1260 Years past, and allowing, as above, three Days for four hunfult dred Years, it amounted to (near) ten Days, ted, which he order'd to be taken out of October 365 Mr. then their Account so corrected was ten Days 365 ond; continued till the Year 1700, at which Time ect, they gain'd another Day of us, that being Leap-Ses, I not with them, by reason of their allowing three rest, Days in four Hundred Years to account for the 49 ted, or a leven Days before ours; so that their Twelfth ight Day is our first, and they keep the vernal Edred quinox in its original Situation, viz. on the exectlation of their Calendar being so exactly kept up, their Easter always answers the Rule in our ling Book of Common-Prayer, and with them pout ime

Eted

hich

hich

ime

Easter-Day is always the first Sunday after the first Full-Moon which happens next after the one and twentieth of March. And as we observ'd before, that an Error of ten Minutes forty eight Seconds in a Year, C2 amounts

amounts exactly to 3 Days in four hundred Years, Pope Gregory order'd that every fourth Year in general should be Leap-Year as with us, only that in the new Centuries every fourth Century only should have a Day added as Leap-Year, and the other three should be common Years, though they are to be Leap-Years with us, and by this Means they gain three Days of us every four hundred Years; as for Instance, the Year 1700 was no Leap-Year with them, although it was a Leap-Year with us, and then they gain'd a Day, and their Account was eleven Days before ours. Also the Year 1800 will be a Leap-Year with us but not with them, and then their Account will be twelve Days before ours, and their thirteenth Day will be our first; and not to enquire any further, if the World continues four thousand Years after the Year 1702, viz. till the Year 5700, they will gain thirty Days more of us, which, with eleven they have gained already, will make forty one, and our first of March will be their eleventh of April, and they vernal Equinox, which is about their 21st of March and our Tenth, will still keep to their 21st, by Reason of the Correctness of their Calendar, but with us it will be receded back. So that about the eighth of February the Sun will rife and fet at fix, and about the eighth of May will be our longest Day, and about our ninth or tenth of November will be the shortest, and our Christmas will happen near seven Weeks after the shortest Day, which

whi the Day

the infe may rect that ty

lov

which is about the same Time, with respect to the Season of the Year, the Length of the Days, &c. that we now have Candlemas.

ars,

r in

nly

ury

ear,

ars,

ery

ear ugh

hey

ven l be

and fore

orld

ear gain ven one, of is will Corwill of d a-

gest emwill ay, nich And to shew yet further, how the Error of the Julian or English Account encreases, I have inserted the following short Table, whereby we may see how far the present Account, if not rectify'd, will lose of the true Account, and that in the Year 4100 we shall have lost twenty nine Days, &c. The Use of the Table follows it.

22
20
19
18
17
16
Days
Add

TABL lear, and the contrary. Year, of the Reduction of the ear, to the Days of the Days of the When GREGORIAN

Tin

ter,

that that

Col

Tu

cond Yea

or Lei

God

A

Go

ko

We

clu ten

as

are

ce<sub>I</sub>

bet

the

ren of

its

D

gi

of

th

fo

When you are to reduce Days of the Julian Year into the Days of the Gregorian Year, enter the Table with the Year given, and the Days there found add to the Days of the Julian Year.

Admit the Time proposed were the 10th of March 1810: Therefore against 1800, I find 12 Days, which being added to the ten Days maketh 22: So that I conclude the 10th of March 1810 Old Stile, is the 22d of the same Month, New Stile.

But as I have now prov'd that the general Rule in the Book of Common Prayer for find. ing Easter has failed so often in so few Years, and have explain'd the Reason of the Error in the Julian or English Account, and that that Error contributes to the Difficulty that we labour under in finding the true Easter, some People may think it a very proper Question to ask by what Rule it is that Easter is found? for we find that in all the Ephemerises or Almanacks, of which there are above twenty different Sorts published every Year, done by several Authors, some in the City, others in the Country, and fo far afunder, that some of them have never feen one another, much less conversed together, and yet all the Almanacks always fix Easter upon the same Day, whether it agrees with the above-mentioned Rule or not.

There have been feveral Rules advanced, and generally received, for determining the Time fuorian iven, ys of

th of find Days th of fame

neral findears, Error that

t we fome ftion and?

Aldif-

the

cons alther

e or

the

Time of the Celebration of the Feast of Eastter, and all the rest of the Moveable Feasts that depend upon it, and amongst the rest one that has prevail'd very much, especially amongst Country People, and that is, That Shrove-Tuesday is always the first Tuesday after the fecond Change or New Moon that happens that Year; and the Day after being Ash-Wednesday, or the first Day of Lent, the forty Days of Lent are accounted from Ash-Wednesday to Good-Friday, inclusive of both, or reckoning Ash-Wednesday the first Day of Lent, and Good-Friday the last; but at this Way of reckoning there is forty five Days between Ash-Wednesday and Good-Friday, when both are included, which is five Days more than the intended forty Days of Lent; but if we allow, as at other Times of the Year, that Sundays are always Festivals, and therefore to be excepted from being Part of the abstemious Time of Lent, and there being fix Sundays between Ash-Wednesday and Good-Friday, take them from the forty five Days, and there will remain thirty nine; and if we should admit of Shrove-Tuesday as a Preparative to Lent, as its Name imports, it will make up the forty Days compleat.

But granting that this is the forty Days originally intended, yet the above-mention'd Rule often fails in the finding of Shrove-Tuesday, though not so often as the Rule first mention'd, for finding Easter by the first Full Moon after

the

the one and twentieth of March; but in the thirty one Years from 1709 to 1739 inclusive, it fails in the Years 1709, 1712, 1732, 1736, 1738, and 1739, and in 1717 it was the first Tuesday after the third Change or New Moon in that Year; but then the first New Moon that Year was on the first of January, the fecond on the thirty first of January, and there being no New Moon in February that Year, the third New Moon was the fecond of March. which was Saturday, and the Tuefday follow. ing being the fifth of March, was Shrove-Tuesday; and an Error of the like kind will happen in the Year 1736, the first New Moon that Year being on the second of 7a. nuary, and the fecond on Saturday the thirty first of January, and accordingly by this Rule Shrove-Tuesday should be the third of February, and Easter-Day on the twenty-first of March; but Shrove-Sunday will not be that Year till the Tuesday after the third New Moon, and Easter on the twenty fifth of April; nor can Easter-Sunday ever fall so low as the twenty first of March, or so high as the twenty fixth of April, it being always limited to fall between these two Days, exclusive of them both; and although that be fufficient to prove the Rule far from being infallible, yet some that have had it by Tradition, adhere fo closely to it, that it is difficult for the greatest Artists, or the best Astronomers, to drive them from it. However, thus much I thought proper

pr

fin lia

W Pi

t N

be re E

nothe

usive,

736

e first

Moon

Moon

, the

there

Year,

larch,

llow.

rove-

will

New

Fa.

thirty

this

rd of v-first

that

New april;

s the

o fall them prove

fome re fo

eatest them

bught

roper

proper to observe, and that this is not the Way to be depended upon for finding Easter.

But the Way that is now made use of for finding Easter by all Authors that use the Julian or old Account, is by the Help of the Golden Number, and the Dominical Letter, which being necessary to be known before any Progress can be made in finding Easter by them, I shall first shew how to find them, and then how to find Easter by them.

And first to find the Golden Number, add t to the Year of our Lord (for the Golden Number was I when our Blessed Saviour was born) and divide the Sum by 19, and what remains after Division, is the Golden Number. Example to find the Golden Number for the Year 1735.

> Year given 1735 Add 1 Sum 1736

Divided by 19)1736(91

Remainder 7 is the Golden Number.

To find the Dominical Letter,

Fractions) together, and to the Sum add 4,

raw moiy holw bas

Refts

which Sum divide by 7, and substract the Remainder from 7, this last Remainder is the Number of the Dominical Letter, accounting A 1, B 2, C 3, D 4, E 5, F 6, and G 7.

To find the Dominical Letter for this Year 1735.

Its fourth Part Add	433
Sum	2172
Divide by 7	)2172(310
From Take the Remaind	7

Which shews that the Dominical Letter is E for the Year 1735.

But a readier Way to find the Golden Number and Dominical Letter is, to the Golden Number for the present Year add 1, it produces the Golden Number for the next Year; but if the Sum exceed 19, cast away 19, the Remainder is the Golden Number for 1735 is 7; but the Golden Number for 1735 is 7; but the Golden Number for the Year 1728 being

Y te

ne

bu G

Y

Do lov ter

Ni gai

fin fal being 19, the Golden Number for 1729 was 1.

But the Dominical Letters falls a Letter back every Year till it comes to A, and then the next Year it is G, except when it is Leap-Year, and then there are two Dominical Letters. Thus in the Year 1726 the Dominical Letter was B, and in the Year 1727 it was A, but in the Year 1728, being Leap-Year, it was G and F, the first serving from the first of January till the twenty fourth of February, and the latter serving from thence to the Year's End, and being the Letter to be used for finding Easter that Year.

Having thus found the Golden Number and Dominical Letter; Easter is found by the following Table, by finding the Dominical Letter at the Head of the Table, and the Golden Number in the Left-Hand Column, and against it, and under the Dominical Letter you find the Day of the Month on which Easter falls.

Faklar Day for the Year 1795, we have found above that the Golden Number for the Year

look against 7 that stands in the first Column.

and under E, at the Head of the Table; an

AJARTE A, which by looked seek to the Co-

lanen under A, you find is Aral 6, which is

Edger-Day 1785, and this with Way be

which the Table is adopted in the Book of

Common Praver to find Bellin Des, on which

and the Dominical Letter E, therefore

is E

Re-

the

ing

ear

iumolden pro-

rear;

73.4, is 7; 1728

1728 being A TABLE shewing how to find Easter for ever, by the Help of the Golden Number and Dominical Letter.

10	G.N.	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
EQ.	O COL	Apr. 9	10	ii I	12	6	7	8
1	ii,	Mar. 2	27		29	30	31	Apr. I
	iii.	Apr16	17		19	20	14	15
	iv.	Apr. 9	3	4	19	6	7.	8
0	V.	Mar. 26		28	2.9	21	24	25
10-0	vi.	Apr. 16	17	II	12	13	14	15
1	VII.	Apr. 2	4		5	6	Mar. 31	Apr. 1
11.4		Apr. 23	24	25	19	20	21	22
	ix.	Apr. 9	10		12	13	14	8
	¥.	Apr. 2			29	CONTROL OF COMPANY	2 L	Apr. I
	xi,	Apr. 16	17	.18	19		1	22
11.5	X11.	Apr. 9			5	6	2.7	8
[6]	the state of	Mar. 26	10000		29	30	- 3I	25
	To the same	Apr. 16		and the second second	1.9	13	14	15
- 14		Apr. 2	1 -	4	5	5	7	8
313		May. 20		The second second	22		24	25
8		Apr. 16	B. S.L.		[2	2 2 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	14	15
	xviii.	Apr. 2	3	4	5	Mar. 30	31	Apr. 1
OA	XIX.	Apr. 2	124	18	119	20	121	1 .22 3

Now by the foregoing Directions to find Easter-Day for the Year 1735, we have found above that the Golden Number for that Year is 7, and the Dominical Letter E, therefore look against 7 that stands in the first Column, and under E, at the Head of the Table, and you find 6, which by looking back to the Column under A, you find is April 6, which is Easter-Day 1735, and this is the Way by which the Table is calculated in the Book of Common Prayer to find Easter-Day, on which

all

al

is

bo f

be W H

th I ti b W 1

is m th W

ar

E th

th

it L

N

fi af all the rest of the Moveable Feasts depend, which is fometimes calculated for forty Years, and will be the perpetual Rule for it, except there should be a Regulation of the Calendar, M. 10

But before we quit this Subject, it may not be amiss to observe, that Easter may be found without the above-mentioned Table, by the Help of the Epact, which is thus found.

Multiply the Golden Number by 11, divide the Product by 30, and what remains after the Division is the Epact. Thus in the Year 1735 the Golden Number is 7, which multiplied by 11, the Product is 77; that divided by 30, the Quotient is 2, but the Remainder which is what is now wanted is 17, which shews that the Epact in the Year 1735 is 17.

As the Divisor to find the Epact is 30, it is impossible that the Epact (which is the Remainder of that Division) should be more than 29, which is therefore the greatest Epact, which being premis'd, the two greatest Epacts are 28 and 29, and then the Rule to find Easter Limits, or the latest that it can fall, is thus found.

If the Epact be less than 28 or 29, substract the Epact from 47, but if 28 or 29, substract it from 77, the Remainder is call'd Easter Limits, which if reckon'd from the first of March, (including the first of March for one) finds a Day of the Month, the first Sunday

after which is Easter-Day.

Thus

find und Year fore mn, and Coh is by

s of bich all

ver,

and

Thus in the Year 1735 we have found the Epact 17, which substracted from 47, the Remainder is 30, which reckon'd from the first of March inclusive, brings the 30th of March, which being Sunday, and Easter-Day being always the Sunday after it, points out April the 6th, which accordingly was Easter-Day.

ed,

ado

fro

ma

Ni

a I

ade

acc

it f

 $D_{i}$ 

leb

the

COI

fio

nu

the

for

tha

in

fu

Ca

Bu

ha

th

in

But if it had been required to find Easter-Day for the Year 1728, the Golden Numfor that Year was 19, and consequently the Epact 29; which being one of the two greatest, must be taken from 77, the Remainder 48, accounted from the first of March inclusive, points out the 17th of April for Easter Limits, and the Sunday following being April the 21st, is Easter-Day.

But this Rule only finding the Limits, the next Sunday after the Expiration of which is Easter-Sunday, may not be thought satisfactory, and therefore to make the Rule compleat, I shall add Directions for finding the Day itself, the foregoing Requisites being first found;

that is,

To the Number of the Dominical Letter found as above, add 4, and substract that from the Limit found as above, and observe what remains, which take from the next greater Number of sevens, the last Remainder added to the Limit, the Sum reckon'd from the first of March inclusive shall

shall point out Easter-Sunday, whether in March or April.

Example. In the Year 1735 above-mentioned, the Dominical Letter is E, or 5, to which add 4, the Sum is 9, which substracted from the Limit 30, (found as above) the Remainder is 21, which taken from the next Number, which may be divided by 7 without a Remainder, viz. 28, there Remains 7, which added to the Limit 30, the Sum is 37, which accounted from the first of March inclusive, it falls upon the 6th of April, which is Easter-

Day in the Year 1735.

the

Re-

first rch.

al-

the

ter-

ım-

the

eat-

nder

clu-

lten

pril

inev

the

h is

fac-

eat,

it-

nd;

11112

etter

that

ob-

the

last

um

five

hall

But as the Difference about the Time of celebrating the great Solemnity of Easter has been the Occasion, as well as the Subject of this Difcourse, and that Difference having been occafion'd by our not accounting for the odd Minutes, which has occasion'd the Retrocession of the Equinox, and the Error in our Account before explain'd, it may not be amiss to observe. that this Error in the Julian, English, or Old Account which we use, has further involved us in another Difficulty (I had almost faid Confusion) which being duly consider'd, and the Cause understood, may be remedied as follows. But I must first assume as a Postulata what I have already prov'd, that our Error in finding the true Time of the Paschal Solemnity is owing to an Error in our Account of Time: For

It is thereby certain, that this Deviation from the Rule in the Book of Common Prayer, which is, that the first Sunday after the first Full Moon next after the one and twentieth of March should be Easter-Sunday, &c. is occafion'd by that Rule being adapted to the Time of the Nicene Council, which was held in the Year 322, the Time to which Pope Gregory corrected the Calendar, and no further back, and the vernal Equinox was then on the 2 1st of March; but if we should make an additional Correction for that 322d Year, and bring it back to the Time of the Birth of our Saviour, we shall then find that allowing three Days in four hundred Years, viz. a Day in 133 or 134 Years, that Allowance in 322 Years, which was the Number of Years after the Birth of our Saviour that the Nicene Council was held, would amount to between two and three Days; if therefore we allow three Days to be added to the 21st of March, because the Retrocession of the Equinox increases, it will then bring the 24th of March for the Time of the vernal Equinox in the Year of our Saviour's Incarnation, and gives us'Reason to believe, even beyond Conjecture, that the Time of the vernal Equinox was on the 24th of March, or some Time in the Evening between the 24th and the 25th of March.

This Consideration leads me further to examine into the Reason of the Order in the Rubrick of the Church of England, which in the

Book

Bo

Suj

Ch

tiei

Il

out

the

Re

fed

of

mo

La

at t

bei

der

gin

and

tion

Chi

the

cau

cau

wh

five

ten

in (

our

nin

Au

lan

ver

Book of Common Prayer is, Note, That the Supputation of the Year of our Lord in the Church of England beginneth the five and twentieth Day of March; and as I find, and I think I have fufficiently prov'd that, in the Year of our Saviour's Incarnation the Equinox was on the 25th of March, it gives us an indisputable Reason to think that the Incarnation of our bleffed Saviour (otherwife called the Annunciation of the bleffed Virgin Mary, and now known amongst Farmers and others by the Name of Lady-Day) was on the 25th of March, and at the Moment of the vernal Equinox; and this being the first Step to the actual Work of Redemption, was for that Reason made the Beginning of the Year in the Church of England, and here, indeed, there is Room for a Regulation; for the Supputation of the Year in the Church of England was appointed to begin on the five and twentieth Day of March, not because it was the twenty fifth of March, but because it was the Time of the vernal Equinox, which is now receded fo far back as from the five and twentieth of March to about the tenth, and that occasion'd only by an Error in our English Account, and from hence comes our different Accounts of Time, some beginning the Year on the 25th of March by the Authority of the Rubrick of the Church of England, whereas if it was to take Date from the vernal Equinox (the first Institution of it) the Year would now begin about the 9th or 10th of March E

er,

of ca-

e of ear ted

ch;

ion

nen red hat

m. hat

t to we

of

uiof

e in

ec.

was the

of

ex-

the ook March, which, by the Error of our Account,

is now the Time of the vernal Equinox.

But while we are maintaining this Beginning of the Year according to the Rubrick of the Common Prayer above-mentioned, we seem to forget that our Year begins the first of January both in our common licensed Almanacks, and even in the Book of Common Prayer itself; and it may amount to a Question very difficult to be answered, why the Rubrick of the Common Prayer enjoins the Year to begin on the 25th of March, and yet the Calendar for the Lessons, &c. begins on the first of January?

As to the Year's beginning the 25th of March, I think I have fully accounted for it, as being the Time of our Saviour's Incarnation, and of the Time of the vernal Equinox; but the most difficult Part to be accounted for is, why the Year should begin the first of January, and continue so without Contradiction, when the Rubrick of the Common Prayer says expressly, That the Supputation of the Year of our Lord in the Church of England beginneth the sive and

twentieth Day of March.

I must own it is very difficult to account for those different Commencements of the Year, when both are established, one by Authority, and the other by Precedent; but I humbly suppose the Reason assigned already for the Year's commencing at the vernal Ingress is established by the Reasons given; and as for the Year's beginning but cept Circ rem

latic object it be Moo low gory But ferve week has cuff at a Feb

wan the fen

fift

Lea

true

ver

fon

ginning the first of January, I find no Reason but Custom, and from thence Authority, except it was kept in Memory of our Savionr's Circumcifion, and thereby fulfilling the Ceremonial Law, and giving Birth to the Chrif-

tian Religion.

nt,

n-

of

m

u-

K8.

it-

ery

of

gin

lar

a-

ch,

ng

of

no

he

nd

he

ly,

rd

nd

for

ar,

ty, pr's. ed

eng

But after the Methods proposed for a Regulation of the Calendar, it may be, and has been objected, that some Difficulties would attend it by Reason of Dates of Writings, Interest of Money, &c. if the fourteen Days were all allow'd for in one Year, as was the Case in Gregory's regulating the Calendar in the Year 1582. But to Remedy this Inconveniency I would observe, that as our one Day added to February every fourth Year, call'd therefore Leap-Year, has been dispensed with, and is now become so customary, that it is not deem'd a Disturbance at all, certainly, if those additional Days in February were omitted, and every February for fifty fix Years, amongst which would be fourteen Leap-Years, should not have the additional twenty-ninth Day, it would not be found. wanting, and yet this Omiffion would repair the Error in our English Account, without any fensible Loss or Damage to any particular Perfon, as will be further demonstrated, if the Government please to take it into Consideration.

FINIS.

ginning the first of Journay, I find no Reason but Caston, and from thence Authority, except it was kept in Memory of our Saviour's Greenwellion, and thereby toldling the Centeronial law, and giving Birth to the Christian Reinson.

and the Sugarist the Matheds proposed for a Regul lition of the Calendar, it may be, and has been defered, that fome Difficulties would attend i by Rulling of Dates of Writings, Interest of Money, Co. if the fourfeen Days were all alloved for in one Year, the was the Cale in Gree gory's recolating the Calendar in the Year 1782. Button Emedy this Inconveniency I would obferve, that as our one Day added to I warry every fourth Year, call'd therefore I ar-Year, has been dilpensed with, and is now become so cultomary, that it is not deem'd a Diffinibance at all, certainly, if those additional Days in February were omitted, and critic February for ix Years, among t which would be fourteen La p-Years, Bould not have the additional reconfy-ninth Day, it would not be found wanting, and yet this Canifican would repair the Errer in our Linglish Account, without any fenfible I of or Daniege to any particular Perfon, as will be further demonstrated, If the Co. wernment please to take, it into Considerations

on the same

0 N 1 C 12 0 G E 13 STATE SESTING we who had a fer for for